

| | | | |
|---|------------------------|---------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | 09/938,516 | GASSHO, KAZUHITO | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Kaveh Abrishamkar | 2131 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Kaveh Abrishamkar.

(3) _____.

 (2) Peter Martine (Reg. No. 32,043).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 May 2006
Time: 2:00 pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102 and 103 rejections under Weigley (U.S. Patent 6,711,677) and Venkatesan et al. (U.S. Patent 6,801,999).

Claims discussed:

1,4,28, 31, 51, and 52.

Prior art documents discussed:

Weigley (U.S. Patent 6,711,677) and Venkatesan et al. (U.S. Patent 6,801,999).

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner in an effort to expedite prosecution notified that the dependent claims 4,31, and 52 would be allowable over the cited prior art if rewritten in independent form.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)